

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,293	10/01/2002	Fu-Zu Lee	IACP0012USA	5717
27765	7590 05/05/2006		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			DUONG, FRANK	
	P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2616	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/065,293	LEE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frank Duong	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Oc	ctober 2002.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 October 2002</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] Into-:: 0	(DTO 442)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Grand Control of the	
		

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is a response to communications dated 10/01/02. Claims 1-6 are pending in the application.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 9, "Figures" should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bennett et al (Patent Application Publication 2002/0112014) (hereinafter "Bennett").

Regarding **claim 1**, in accordance with Bennett reference entirety, Bennett discloses a method of chatting via a cellular phone system (*Fig. 1a*) comprising a processor (42a or 42b) connected to an Internet web (24) which supports network chatting (SMS) (*page 3, paragraph [00025] and thereinafter*), and a plurality of nodes

(46a, 46b and 46c) connected to the processor ((42-44)a or (42-44)b) for wirelessly communicating with a plurality of cellular phones (50a, 50b and 50c), the method comprising:

Page 3

connecting the plurality of cellular phones wirelessly to the plurality of nodes (see Fig. 1A for connection details of devices 50a, 50b and 50c), and connecting to the Internet web (24) via the processor ((42-44)a or (42-44)b);

sending a message (SMS message) from one (50a) of the plurality of cellular phones wirelessly to one (50b) of the plurality of nodes, and sending the message from the node (46a) to the Internet web (24) via the processor ((42-44)a) (paragraph [0036]); and

sending the message via the processor (42a) to the plurality of nodes (42a and 42b), and sending the message from the plurality of nodes to the plurality of cellular phones (50a and 50b) (paragraph [0037]).

Regarding claim 2, in addition to features recited in base claim 1 (see rationales discussed above), Bennett further discloses wherein the plurality of nodes (46a, 46b) and 46c) are base stations (Fig. 1A depicts elements 46a, 46b and 46c as base stations).

Regarding claim 3, in addition to features recited in base claim 1 (see rationales discussed above), Bennett further discloses wherein the processor comprises a register (not shown; it is inherent there is memory or buffer for holding e-mail messages in 42a, 42b) for holding messages from the plurality of cellular phones in turn (paragraphs

Application/Control Number: 10/065,293 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

[0052] to [0057] and thereinafter discussed the structure and functionalities of gateways 42a, 42b and 42c).

Regarding **claim 4**, in addition to features recited in base claim 3 (see rationales discussed above), Bennett further discloses wherein the register is a first-in-first-out (FIFO) memory (not shown; inherent in the gateway because e-mail messages are processed based on a first come first serve, commonly implemented using a FIFO).

Regarding **claim 5**, in addition to features recited in base claim 1 (see rationales discussed above), Bennett further discloses wherein the processor is a mobile switching center (*Fig. 1A depicts 44A, 44b or 44c as a mobile switching center*).

Regarding **claim 6**, in addition to features recited in base claim 1 (see rationales discussed above), Bennett further discloses wherein each cellular phone comprises a memory for storing a plurality of phone numbers and data sent from the processor via the corresponding nodes (paragraph [0025] and thereinafter, Bennett discusses mobile device in the instant invention is a cellular phone, pager, wireless hand-held organizer and the like. These devices inherently have memory for storing phone numbers as well as storing SMS messages).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Puskala (USP 6,908,389).

Sivula (USP 6,795,711).

Art Unit: 2616

Miller et al (USP 6,421,707).

WAP Forum, WAP MMS Architecture Overview, pages 1-23, April 2001.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Frank Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-

3164. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-3:30PM, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FRANK DUONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5